

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 WILLIAM J. WHITSITT,
12 Petitioner,
13 v.
14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
15 Respondent.
16

No. 2:21-cv-02019-TLN-JDP

ORDER

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed an application for a writ of habeas
18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On April 7, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which
21 were served on Petitioner and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to the
22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 26.) Petitioner
23 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 28.)


24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this
25 Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
26 Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper
27 analysis.

28 ///

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations filed April 7, 2022, (ECF No. 26), are adopted in full.
2. The Amended Petition, (ECF No. 22), is DISMISSED without leave to amend and the Petition for Writ of Mandate, (ECF No. 25), is DENIED as moot.
3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

DATE: June 15, 2022



Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge